
The book explores the metaethical foundations of value-based arguments in constitutional adjudication. The argument develops in four steps. First, the book identifies three dominant
types of value-based arguments in comparative constitutional practice: the arguments from constitutional identity, common sentiment, and universal reason. Second, it examines the
assumptions about the nature of moral value implicit in these arguments and subjects them to a critique. This chapter reviews some features of normative ethics that distinguish
Tugendlehre from science, metaphysics, metaethics, and theories of law. It discusses the role of [Show full abstract] the basic moral principles in Kant's theory and how they relate to
more specific principles. His book The Metaethics of Constitutional Adjudication (Oxford University Press 2017) develops an original theory of the role of values in constitutional
reasoning, and combines insights from comparative constitutional law and metaethics. Dr Tripkovic has won the 2016 Mauro Cappelletti Prize for the best thesis in the field of
comparative law defended at the European University Institute, and the 2020 Special Mention Award from International Journal of Constitutional Law for his article â€œThe Morality of
Foreign Lawâ€™. Staff details. Policy information. Metaethics is the attempt to understand the metaphysical, epistemological, semantic, and psychological, presuppositions and
commitments of moral thought, talk, and practice. As such, it counts within its domain a broad range of questions and puzzles, including: Is morality more a matter of taste than truth?
Are moral standards culturally relative? Are there moral facts? If there are moral facts, what is their origin? How is it that they set an appropriate standard for our behavior? How might
moral facts be related to other facts (about psychology, happiness, human conventionsâ€¦)? And how do we